## Automated Reasoning: Exercise 2

This work forms part of the coursework assessment. The deadline is November 30, 2009, 4pm. Please submit your work to the Student Support Office, Room LF21 AND send your Prolog programs by email to Pavel Klinov. If you do not email programs to Pavel you will get no marks. If your program sent in the email is different from the one submitted to the SSO, you will get no marks too.

Attempt all of the following questions.

Question 1 Suppose that we have a predicate greater defining an ordering on atoms. Define in Prolog a predicate lgreater for literal comparison as in the lecture. Negative literals are represented using the unary function symbol not. For example not(p) represents the negation of p. Apparently, lgreater should be defined in terms of greater. (2 marks)

**Question 2** Suppose that a clause is represented as a list of literals and literals are represented as in the previous question. Define in Prolog the split predicate for splitting a clause into maximal and non-maximal literals. (4 marks).

Question 3 Define in Prolog a predicate factor(C1,C2) so that it applies factoring rules to C1 while possible obtaining C2. In other words, factor(C1,C2) removes all duplicate literals from C1 obtaining C2. For example, factor([p1,not(p2),not(p2),p1],C) binds C to [p1,not(p2)]. Likewise, factor([p2,p1],C) binds C to [p2,p1] (5 marks)

Question 4 Using the split predicate, define in Prolog the predicate resolve(C1,C2,C) that applies ordered resolution to C1 and C2. For example, resolve([p1,p2],[not(p2)],C) will bind C to [p1], if p2 > p1 (4 marks)