Outline

Saturation Algorithms



How to Establish Unsatisfiability?

Completess is formulated in terms of derivability of the empty clause \Box from a set S_0 of clauses in an inference system \mathbb{I} . However, this formulations gives no hint on how to search for such a derivation.

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

How to Establish Unsatisfiability?

Completess is formulated in terms of derivability of the empty clause \Box from a set S_0 of clauses in an inference system I. However, this formulations gives no hint on how to search for such a derivation.

Idea:

Take a set of clauses S (the search space), initially S = S₀. Repeatedly apply inferences in I to clauses in S and add their conclusions to S, unless these conclusions are already in S.

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

If, at any stage, we obtain □, we terminate and report unsatisfiability of S₀.

How to Establish Satisfiability?

When can we report satisfiability?



How to Establish Satisfiability?

When can we report satisfiability?

When we build a set *S* such that any inference applied to clauses in *S* is already a member of *S*. Any such set of clauses is called saturated (with respect to \mathbb{I}).

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

How to Establish Satisfiability?

When can we report satisfiability?

When we build a set *S* such that any inference applied to clauses in *S* is already a member of *S*. Any such set of clauses is called saturated (with respect to \mathbb{I}).

In first-order logic it is often the case that all saturated sets are infinite (due to undecidability), so in practice we can never build a saturated set.

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

The process of trying to build one is referred to as saturation.

Let I be an inference system on formulas and S be a set of formulas.

S is called saturated with respect to I, or simply I-saturated, if for every inference of I with premises in S, the conclusion of this inference also belongs to S.

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

The closure of S with respect to I, or simply I-closure, is the smallest set S' containing S and saturated with respect to I.

Inference Process

Inference process: sequence of sets of formulas S_0, S_1, \ldots , denoted by

 $S_0 \Rightarrow S_1 \Rightarrow S_2 \Rightarrow \dots$

 $(S_i \Rightarrow S_{i+1})$ is a step of this process.



Inference Process

Inference process: sequence of sets of formulas S_0, S_1, \ldots , denoted by

 $S_0 \Rightarrow S_1 \Rightarrow S_2 \Rightarrow \dots$

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

 $(S_i \Rightarrow S_{i+1})$ is a step of this process.

We say that this step is an I-step if

1. there exists an inference

$$\frac{F_1 \dots F_n}{F}$$

in \mathbb{I} such that $\{F_1, \dots, F_n\} \subseteq S_i$;
2. $S_{i+1} = S_i \cup \{F\}$.

Inference Process

Inference process: sequence of sets of formulas S_0, S_1, \ldots , denoted by

 $S_0 \Rightarrow S_1 \Rightarrow S_2 \Rightarrow \dots$

 $(S_i \Rightarrow S_{i+1})$ is a step of this process.

We say that this step is an I-step if

1. there exists an inference

$$\frac{F_1 \dots F_n}{F}$$

in \mathbb{I} such that $\{F_1, \dots, F_n\} \subseteq S_i$;
2. $S_{i+1} = S_i \cup \{F\}$.

An $\mathbb{I}\text{-inference process}$ is an inference process whose every step is an $\mathbb{I}\text{-step}.$

Property

Let $S_0 \Rightarrow S_1 \Rightarrow S_2 \Rightarrow \dots$ be an \mathbb{I} -inference process and a formula F belongs to some S_i . Then S_i is derivable in \mathbb{I} from S_0 . In particular, every S_i is a subset of the \mathbb{I} -closure of S_0 .

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

The limit of an inference process $S_0 \Rightarrow S_1 \Rightarrow S_2 \Rightarrow \dots$ is the set of formulas $\bigcup_i S_i$.

The limit of an inference process $S_0 \Rightarrow S_1 \Rightarrow S_2 \Rightarrow \dots$ is the set of formulas $\bigcup_i S_i$.

In other words, the limit is the set of all derived formulas.



The limit of an inference process $S_0 \Rightarrow S_1 \Rightarrow S_2 \Rightarrow \dots$ is the set of formulas $\bigcup_i S_i$.

In other words, the limit is the set of all derived formulas.

Suppose that we have an infinite inference process such that S_0 is unsatisfiable and we use the binary resolution inference system.

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

The limit of an inference process $S_0 \Rightarrow S_1 \Rightarrow S_2 \Rightarrow \dots$ is the set of formulas $\bigcup_i S_i$.

In other words, the limit is the set of all derived formulas.

Suppose that we have an infinite inference process such that S_0 is unsatisfiable and we use the binary resolution inference system.

Question: does completeness imply that the limit of the process contains the empty clause?

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

Fairness

Let $S_0 \Rightarrow S_1 \Rightarrow S_2 \Rightarrow \dots$ be an inference process with the limit S_{∞} . The process is called fair if for every \mathbb{I} -inference

$$\frac{F_1 \quad \dots \quad F_n}{F} ,$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

if $\{F_1, \ldots, F_n\} \subseteq S_{\infty}$, then there exists *i* such that $F \in S_i$.

Completeness, reformulated

Theorem Let \mathbb{I} be an inference system. The following conditions are equivalent.

- 1. I is complete.
- 2. For every unsatisfiable set of formulas S_0 and any fair I-inference process with the initial set S_0 , the limit of this inference process contains \Box .

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>











◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆豆▶ ◆豆▶ □豆 = 釣�(♡)













1 9 9 C



Sac







500

-

A saturation algorithm tries to saturate a set of clauses with respect to a given inference system.

In theory there are three possible scenarios:

- 1. At some moment the empty clause □ is generated, in this case the input set of clauses is unsatisfiable.
- 2. Saturation will terminate without ever generating □, in this case the input set of clauses in satisfiable.
- 3. Saturation will run <u>forever</u>, but without generating □. In this case the input set of clauses is <u>satisfiable</u>.

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Saturation Algorithm in Practice

In practice there are three possible scenarios:

- 1. At some moment the empty clause □ is generated, in this case the input set of clauses is unsatisfiable.
- 2. Saturation will terminate without ever generating □, in this case the input set of clauses in satisfiable.
- Saturation will run <u>until we run out of resources</u>, but without generating □. In this case it is <u>unknown</u> whether the input set is unsatisfiable.

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Even when we implement inference selection by clause selection, there are too many inferences, especially when the search space grows.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

Even when we implement inference selection by clause selection, there are too many inferences, especially when the search space grows.

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Solution: only apply inferences to the selected clause and the previously selected clauses.

Even when we implement inference selection by clause selection, there are too many inferences, especially when the search space grows.

Solution: only apply inferences to the selected clause and the previously selected clauses.

Thus, the search space is divided in two parts:

- active clauses, that participate in inferences;
- passive clauses, that do not participate in inferences.

Observation: the set of passive clauses is usually considerably larger than the set of active clauses, often by 2-4 orders of magnitude (depending on the saturation algorithm and the problem).

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)