Outline

Sorts and Theories



Sorts

Consider these statements:

- 1. Sort *b* consists of two elements: *t* and *f*;
- 2. Sort s has three different elements.

 $t! = f \land (\forall x : b)(x \simeq t \lor x \simeq f)$ $(\exists x : s)(\exists y : s)(\exists z : s)(x \not\simeq y \land x \not\simeq z \land y \not\simeq z)$

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

Sorts

Consider these statements:

- 1. Sort *b* consists of two elements: *t* and *f*;
- 2. Sort s has three different elements.

 $t! = f \land (\forall x : b)(x \simeq t \lor x \simeq f)$ $(\exists x : s)(\exists y : s)(\exists z : s)(x \not\simeq y \land x \not\simeq z \land y \not\simeq z)$

The unsorted version of it:

$$(\forall x)(x \simeq t \lor x \simeq f) (\exists x)(\exists y)(\exists z)(x \not\simeq y \land x \not\simeq z \land y \not\simeq z)$$

is unsatisfiable:

fof(1,axiom,t != f & ! [X] : X = t | X = f).
fof(1,axiom,? [X,Y,Z] : (X != Y & X != Z & Y != Z)).

vampire --splitting off
 --saturation_algorithm inst_gen sort1.tptp

Sorts in TPTP

```
tff(boolean_type,type,b: $tType). % b is a sort
tff(s_is_a_type,type,s: $tType). % s is a sort
```

```
tff(t_has_type_b,type,t : b). % t has sort b
tff(f_has_type_b,type,f : b). % f has sort b
```

```
tff(1,axiom,t != f & ! [X:b] : X = t | X = f).
tff(1,axiom,? [X:s,Y:s,Z:s] : (X != Y & X != Z & Y != Z)).
```

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

```
vampire --splitting off
--saturation_algorithm inst_gen sort2.tptp
```

Pre-existing sorts

\$i: sort of individuals. If is the default sort: if a symbol is not declared, it has this sort.

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQ@

- \$int: sort of integers.
- \$rat: sort of rationals.
- \$real: sort of reals.

Integers

One can use concrete integers and some interpreted functions on them.

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

```
fof(1, \text{conjecture}, \text{$sum}(2, 2) = 4).
```

vampire --inequality_splitting 0 int1.tptp

Interpreted Functions and Predicates on Integers

Functions:

- \$sum: addition (x + y)
- \$product: multiplication (x · y)
- ► \$difference: difference (x y)
- ▶ \$uminus: unary minus (-x)
- \$to_rat: conversion to rationals.
- \$to_real: conversion to reals.

Predicates:

- \$less: less than (x < y)</p>
- \$lesseq: less than or equal to $(x \le y)$
- \$greater: greater than (x > y)
- \$greatereq: greater than or equal to $(x \ge y)$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ● ● ●

How Vampire Proves Problems in Arithmetic

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

- adding theory axioms;
- evaluating expressions, when possible;
- ► (future) SMT solving.

How Vampire Proves Problems in Arithmetic

- adding theory axioms;
- evaluating expressions, when possible;
- (future) SMT solving.

Example:

$$(x + y) + z = x + (z + y).$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ● ● ●

```
fof(1,conjecture,
```

! [X:\$int,Y:\$int,Z:\$int] :

\$sum(\$sum(X,Y),Z) = \$sum(X,\$sum(Z,Y))).

vampire --inequality_splitting 0 int2.tptp

How Vampire Proves Problems in Arithmetic

- adding theory axioms;
- evaluating expressions, when possible;
- (future) SMT solving.

Example:

$$(x + y) + z = x + (z + y).$$

fof(1,conjecture,

! [X:\$int,Y:\$int,Z:\$int] :
 \$sum(\$sum(X,Y),Z)=\$sum(X,\$sum(Z,Y))).

vampire --inequality_splitting 0 int2.tptp

You can add your own axioms;

you can replace Vampire axioms by your own: use --theory_axioms off