

Outline

First-Order Logic and TPTP

First-Order Logic and TPTP

- ▶ **Language:** variables, function and predicate (relation) symbols. A constant symbol is a special case of a function symbol.

First-Order Logic and TPTP

- ▶ Language: variables, function and predicate (relation) symbols. A **constant symbol** is a special case of a function symbol. **Variable names** start with upper-case letters.

First-Order Logic and TPTP

- ▶ Language: variables, function and predicate (relation) symbols. A constant symbol is a special case of a function symbol. Variable names start with upper-case letters.
- ▶ **Terms:** variables, constants, and expressions $f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$, where f is a function symbol of arity n and t_1, \dots, t_n are terms.

First-Order Logic and TPTP

- ▶ Language: variables, function and predicate (relation) symbols. A constant symbol is a special case of a function symbol. Variable names start with upper-case letters.
- ▶ Terms: variables, constants, and expressions $f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$, where f is a function symbol of arity n and t_1, \dots, t_n are terms. Terms denote domain (universe) elements (objects).

First-Order Logic and TPTP

- ▶ Language: variables, function and predicate (relation) symbols. A constant symbol is a special case of a function symbol. Variable names start with upper-case letters.
- ▶ Terms: variables, constants, and expressions $f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$, where f is a function symbol of arity n and t_1, \dots, t_n are terms. Terms denote domain (universe) elements (objects).
- ▶ **Atomic formula:** expression $p(t_1, \dots, t_n)$, where p is a predicate symbol of arity n and t_1, \dots, t_n are terms.

First-Order Logic and TPTP

- ▶ Language: variables, function and predicate (relation) symbols. A constant symbol is a special case of a function symbol. Variable names start with upper-case letters.
- ▶ Terms: variables, constants, and expressions $f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$, where f is a function symbol of arity n and t_1, \dots, t_n are terms. Terms denote domain (universe) elements (objects).
- ▶ **Atomic formula:** expression $p(t_1, \dots, t_n)$, where p is a predicate symbol of arity n and t_1, \dots, t_n are terms. Formulas denote properties of domain elements.
- ▶ All symbols are uninterpreted, apart from equality $=$.

First-Order Logic and TPTP

- ▶ Language: variables, function and predicate (relation) symbols. A constant symbol is a special case of a function symbol. Variable names start with upper-case letters.
- ▶ Terms: variables, constants, and expressions $f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$, where f is a function symbol of arity n and t_1, \dots, t_n are terms. Terms denote domain (universe) elements (objects).
- ▶ **Atomic formula:** expression $p(t_1, \dots, t_n)$, where p is a predicate symbol of arity n and t_1, \dots, t_n are terms. Formulas denote properties of domain elements.
- ▶ All symbols are uninterpreted, apart from equality $=$.

FOL	TPTP
\perp, \top	\$false, \$true
$\neg F$	$\sim F$
$F_1 \wedge \dots \wedge F_n$	$F1 \And \dots \And Fn$
$F_1 \vee \dots \vee F_n$	$F1 \Or \dots \Or Fn$
$F_1 \rightarrow F_n$	$F1 \Rightarrow Fn$
$(\forall x_1) \dots (\forall x_n) F$	$\forall [x_1, \dots, x_n] : F$
$(\exists x_1) \dots (\exists x_n) F$	$\exists [x_1, \dots, x_n] : F$

More on the TPTP Syntax

```
%---- 1 * x = 1
fof(left_identity, axiom,
    ! [X] : mult(e,X) = X )).

%---- i(x) * x = 1
fof(left_inverse, axiom,
    ! [X] : mult(inverse(X),X) = e )).

%---- (x * y) * z = x * (y * z)
fof(associativity, axiom,
    ! [X,Y,Z] :
        mult(mult(X,Y),Z) = mult(X,mult(Y,Z)) )).

%---- x * x = 1
fof(group_of_order_2, hypothesis,
    ! [X] : mult(X,X) = e ).

%---- prove x * y = y * x
fof(commutativity, conjecture,
    ! [X,Y] : mult(X,Y) = mult(Y,X) ).
```

More on the TPTP Syntax

- Comments;

```
%---- 1 * x = 1
fof(left_identity, axiom,
    ! [X] : mult(e,X) = X )) .
%---- i(x) * x = 1
fof(left_inverse, axiom,
    ! [X] : mult(inverse(X),X) = e )) .
%---- (x * y) * z = x * (y * z)
fof(associativity, axiom,
    ! [X,Y,Z] :
        mult(mult(X,Y),Z) = mult(X,mult(Y,Z)) )) .
%---- x * x = 1
fof(group_of_order_2, hypothesis,
    ! [X] : mult(X,X) = e ) .
%---- prove x * y = y * x
fof(commutativity, conjecture,
    ! [X,Y] : mult(X,Y) = mult(Y,X) ) .
```

More on the TPTP Syntax

- ▶ Comments;
- ▶ Input formula names;

```
%---- 1 * x = 1
fof(left_identity, axiom,
    ! [X] : mult(e,X) = X )) .
%---- i(x) * x = 1
fof(left_inverse, axiom,
    ! [X] : mult(inverse(X),X) = e )) .
%---- (x * y) * z = x * (y * z)
fof(associativity, axiom,
    ! [X,Y,Z] :
        mult(mult(X,Y),Z) = mult(X,mult(Y,Z)) )) .
%---- x * x = 1
fof(group_of_order_2, hypothesis,
    ! [X] : mult(X,X) = e ) .
%---- prove x * y = y * x
fof(commutativity, conjecture,
    ! [X,Y] : mult(X,Y) = mult(Y,X) ) .
```

More on the TPTP Syntax

- ▶ Comments;
- ▶ Input formula names;
- ▶ **Input formula roles** (very important);

```
%---- 1 * x = 1
fof(left_identity, axiom, (
    ! [X] : mult(e, X) = X )).

%---- i(x) * x = 1
fof(left_inverse, axiom, (
    ! [X] : mult(inverse(X), X) = e )).

%---- (x * y) * z = x * (y * z)
fof(associativity, axiom, (
    ! [X, Y, Z] :
        mult(mult(X, Y), Z) = mult(X, mult(Y, Z)) )).

%---- x * x = 1
fof(group_of_order_2, hypothesis,
    ! [X] : mult(X, X) = e ).

%---- prove x * y = y * x
fof(commutativity, conjecture,
    ! [X, Y] : mult(X, Y) = mult(Y, X) ).
```

More on the TPTP Syntax

- ▶ Comments;
- ▶ Input formula names;
- ▶ **Input formula roles** (very important);
- ▶ Equality

```
%---- 1 * x = 1
fof(left_identity, axiom,
    ! [X] : mult(e,X) = X )) .
%---- i(x) * x = 1
fof(left_inverse, axiom,
    ! [X] : mult(inverse(X),X) = e )) .
%---- (x * y) * z = x * (y * z)
fof(associativity, axiom,
    ! [X,Y,Z] :
        mult(mult(X,Y),Z) = mult(X,mult(Y,Z)) )) .
%---- x * x = 1
fof(group_of_order_2, hypothesis,
    ! [X] : mult(X,X) = e ) .
%---- prove x * y = y * x
fof(commutativity, conjecture,
    ! [X,Y] : mult(X,Y) = mult(Y,X) ) .
```

Proof by Vampire (Slightly Modified)

Refutation found. Thanks to Tanya!

```
203. $false [subsumption resolution 202,14]
202. sP1(mult(sK,sK0)) [backward demodulation 188,15]
188. mult(X8,X9) = mult(X9,X8) [superposition 22,87]
87. mult(X2,mult(X1,X2)) = X1 [forward demodulation 71,27]
71. mult(inverse(X1),e) = mult(X2,mult(X1,X2)) [superposition 23,20]
27. mult(inverse(X2),e) = X2 [superposition 22,10]
23. mult(inverse(X4),mult(X4,X5)) = X5 [forward demodulation 18,9]
22. mult(X0,mult(X0,X1)) = X1 [forward demodulation 16,9]
20. e = mult(X0,mult(X1,mult(X0,X1))) [superposition 11,12]
18. mult(e,X5) = mult(inverse(X4),mult(X4,X5)) [superposition 11,10]
16. mult(e,X1) = mult(X0,mult(X0,X1)) [superposition 11,12]
15. sP1(mult(sK0,sK)) [inequality splitting 13,14]
14. ~sP1(mult(sK,sK0)) [inequality splitting name introduction]
13. mult(sK,sK0) != mult(sK0,sK) [cnf transformation 8]
12. e = mult(X0,X0) (0:5) [cnf transformation 4]
11. mult(mult(X0,X1),X2)=mult(X0,mult(X1,X2)) [cnf transformation 3]
10. e = mult(inverse(X0),X0) [cnf transformation 2]
9. mult(e,X0) = X0 [cnf transformation 1]
8. mult(sK,sK0) != mult(sK0,sK) [skolemisation 7]
7. ? [X0,X1] : mult(X0,X1) != mult(X1,X0) [ennf transformation 6]
6. ~! [X0,X1] : mult(X0,X1) = mult(X1,X0) [negated conjecture 5]
5. ! [X0,X1] : mult(X0,X1) = mult(X1,X0) [input]
4. ! [X0] : e = mult(X0,X0) [input]
3. ! [X0,X1,X2] : mult(mult(X0,X1),X2) = mult(X0,mult(X1,X2)) [input]
2. ! [X0] : e = mult(inverse(X0),X0) [input]
1. ! [X0] : mult(e,X0) = X0 [input]
```

Proof by Vampire (Slightly Modified)

Refutation found. Thanks to Tanya!

```
203. $false [subsumption resolution 202,14]
202. sP1(mult(sK,sK0)) [backward demodulation 188,15]
188. mult(X8,X9) = mult(X9,X8) [superposition 22,87]
87. mult(X2,mult(X1,X2)) = X1 [forward demodulation 71,27]
71. mult(inverse(X1),e) = mult(X2,mult(X1,X2)) [superposition 23,20]
27. mult(inverse(X2),e) = X2 [superposition 22,10]
23. mult(inverse(X4),mult(X4,X5)) = X5 [forward demodulation 18,9]
22. mult(X0,mult(X0,X1)) = X1 [forward demodulation 16,9]
20. e = mult(X0,mult(X1,mult(X0,X1))) [superposition 11,12]
18. mult(e,X5) = mult(inverse(X4),mult(X4,X5)) [superposition 11,10]
16. mult(e,X1) = mult(X0,mult(X0,X1)) [superposition 11,12]
15. sP1(mult(sK0,sK)) [inequality splitting 13,14]
14. ~sP1(mult(sK,sK0)) [inequality splitting name introduction]
13. mult(sK,sK0) != mult(sK0,sK) [cnf transformation 8]
12. e = mult(X0,X0) (0:5) [cnf transformation 4]
11. mult(mult(X0,X1),X2)=mult(X0,mult(X1,X2)) [cnf transformation 3]
10. e = mult(inverse(X0),X0) [cnf transformation 2]
9. mult(e,X0) = X0 [cnf transformation 1]
8. mult(sK,sK0) != mult(sK0,sK) [skolemisation 7]
7. ? [X0,X1] : mult(X0,X1) != mult(X1,X0) [ennf transformation 6]
6. ~! [X0,X1] : mult(X0,X1) = mult(X1,X0) [negated conjecture 5]
5. ! [X0,X1] : mult(X0,X1) = mult(X1,X0) [input]
4. ! [X0] : e = mult(X0,X0) [input]
3. ! [X0,X1,X2] : mult(mult(X0,X1),X2) = mult(X0,mult(X1,X2)) [input]
2. ! [X0] : e = mult(inverse(X0),X0) [input]
1. ! [X0] : mult(e,X0) = X0 [input]
```

- ▶ Each inference derives a formula from zero or more other formulas;

Proof by Vampire (Slightly Modified)

Refutation found. Thanks to Tanya!

```
203. $false [subsumption resolution 202,14]
202. sP1(mult(sK,sK0)) [backward demodulation 188,15]
188. mult(X8,X9) = mult(X9,X8) [superposition 22,87]
87. mult(X2,mult(X1,X2)) = X1 [forward demodulation 71,27]
71. mult(inverse(X1),e) = mult(X2,mult(X1,X2)) [superposition 23,20]
27. mult(inverse(X2),e) = X2 [superposition 22,10]
23. mult(inverse(X4),mult(X4,X5)) = X5 [forward demodulation 18,9]
22. mult(X0,mult(X0,X1)) = X1 [forward demodulation 16,9]
20. e = mult(X0,mult(X1,mult(X0,X1))) [superposition 11,12]
18. mult(e,X5) = mult(inverse(X4),mult(X4,X5)) [superposition 11,10]
16. mult(e,X1) = mult(X0,mult(X0,X1)) [superposition 11,12]
15. sP1(mult(sK0,sK)) [inequality splitting 13,14]
14. ~sP1(mult(sK,sK0)) [inequality splitting name introduction]
13. mult(sK,sK0) != mult(sK0,sK) [cnf transformation 8]
12. e = mult(X0,X0) (0:5) [cnf transformation 4]
11. mult(mult(X0,X1),X2)=mult(X0,mult(X1,X2)) [cnf transformation 3]
10. e = mult(inverse(X0),X0) [cnf transformation 2]
9. mult(e,X0) = X0 [cnf transformation 1]
8. mult(sK,sK0) != mult(sK0,sK) [skolemisation 7]
7. ? [X0,X1] : mult(X0,X1) != mult(X1,X0) [ennf transformation 6]
6. ~! [X0,X1] : mult(X0,X1) = mult(X1,X0) [negated conjecture 5]
5. ! [X0,X1] : mult(X0,X1) = mult(X1,X0) [input]
4. ! [X0] : e = mult(X0,X0) [input]
3. ! [X0,X1,X2] : mult(mult(X0,X1),X2) = mult(X0,mult(X1,X2)) [input]
2. ! [X0] : e = mult(inverse(X0),X0) [input]
1. ! [X0] : mult(e,X0) = X0 [input]
```

- ▶ Each inference derives a formula from zero or more other formulas;
- ▶ **Input**, preprocessing, new symbols introduction, superposition calculus

Proof by Vampire (Slightly Modified)

Refutation found. Thanks to Tanya!

```
203. $false [subsumption resolution 202,14]
202. sP1(mult(sK,sK0)) [backward demodulation 188,15]
188. mult(X8,X9) = mult(X9,X8) [superposition 22,87]
87. mult(X2,mult(X1,X2)) = X1 [forward demodulation 71,27]
71. mult(inverse(X1),e) = mult(X2,mult(X1,X2)) [superposition 23,20]
27. mult(inverse(X2),e) = X2 [superposition 22,10]
23. mult(inverse(X4),mult(X4,X5)) = X5 [forward demodulation 18,9]
22. mult(X0,mult(X0,X1)) = X1 [forward demodulation 16,9]
20. e = mult(X0,mult(X1,mult(X0,X1))) [superposition 11,12]
18. mult(e,X5) = mult(inverse(X4),mult(X4,X5)) [superposition 11,10]
16. mult(e,X1) = mult(X0,mult(X0,X1)) [superposition 11,12]
15. sP1(mult(sK0,sK)) [inequality splitting 13,14]
14. ~sP1(mult(sK,sK0)) [inequality splitting name introduction]
13. mult(sK,sK0) != mult(sK0,sK) [cnf transformation 8]
12. e = mult(X0,X0) (0:5) [cnf transformation 4]
11. mult(mult(X0,X1),X2)=mult(X0,mult(X1,X2)) [cnf transformation 3]
10. e = mult(inverse(X0),X0) [cnf transformation 2]
9. mult(e,X0) = X0 [cnf transformation 1]
8. mult(sK,sK0) != mult(sK0,sK) [skolemisation 7]
7. ? [X0,X1] : mult(X0,X1) != mult(X1,X0) [ennf transformation 6]
6. ~! [X0,X1] : mult(X0,X1) = mult(X1,X0) [negated conjecture 5]
5. ! [X0,X1] : mult(X0,X1) = mult(X1,X0) [input]
4. ! [X0] : e = mult(X0,X0) [input]
3. ! [X0,X1,X2] : mult(mult(X0,X1),X2) = mult(X0,mult(X1,X2)) [input]
2. ! [X0] : e = mult(inverse(X0),X0) [input]
1. ! [X0] : mult(e,X0) = X0 [input]
```

- ▶ Each inference derives a formula from zero or more other formulas;
- ▶ Input, **preprocessing**, new symbols introduction, superposition calculus

Proof by Vampire (Slightly Modified)

Refutation found. Thanks to Tanya!

```
203. $false [subsumption resolution 202,14]
202. sP1(mult(sK,sK0)) [backward demodulation 188,15]
188. mult(X8,X9) = mult(X9,X8) [superposition 22,87]
87. mult(X2,mult(X1,X2)) = X1 [forward demodulation 71,27]
71. mult(inverse(X1),e) = mult(X2,mult(X1,X2)) [superposition 23,20]
27. mult(inverse(X2),e) = X2 [superposition 22,10]
23. mult(inverse(X4),mult(X4,X5)) = X5 [forward demodulation 18,9]
22. mult(X0,mult(X0,X1)) = X1 [forward demodulation 16,9]
20. e = mult(X0,mult(X1,mult(X0,X1))) [superposition 11,12]
18. mult(e,X5) = mult(inverse(X4),mult(X4,X5)) [superposition 11,10]
16. mult(e,X1) = mult(X0,mult(X0,X1)) [superposition 11,12]
15. sP1(mult(sK0,sK)) [inequality splitting 13,14]
14. ~sP1(mult(sK,sK0)) [inequality splitting name introduction]
13. mult(sK,sK0) != mult(sK0,sK) [cnf transformation 8]
12. e = mult(X0,X0) (0:5) [cnf transformation 4]
11. mult(mult(X0,X1),X2)=mult(X0,mult(X1,X2)) [cnf transformation 3]
10. e = mult(inverse(X0),X0) [cnf transformation 2]
9. mult(e,X0) = X0 [cnf transformation 1]
8. mult(sK,sK0) != mult(sK0,sK) [skolemisation 7]
7. ? [X0,X1] : mult(X0,X1) != mult(X1,X0) [ennf transformation 6]
6. ~! [X0,X1] : mult(X0,X1) = mult(X1,X0) [negated conjecture 5]
5. ! [X0,X1] : mult(X0,X1) = mult(X1,X0) [input]
4. ! [X0] : e = mult(X0,X0) [input]
3. ! [X0,X1,X2] : mult(mult(X0,X1),X2) = mult(X0,mult(X1,X2)) [input]
2. ! [X0] : e = mult(inverse(X0),X0) [input]
1. ! [X0] : mult(e,X0) = X0 [input]
```

- ▶ Each inference derives a formula from zero or more other formulas;
- ▶ Input, preprocessing, new symbols introduction, superposition calculus

Proof by Vampire (Slightly Modified)

Refutation found. Thanks to Tanya!

```
203. $false [subsumption resolution 202,14]
202. sP1(mult(sK,sK0)) [backward demodulation 188,15]
188. mult(X8,X9) = mult(X9,X8) [superposition 22,87]
87. mult(X2,mult(X1,X2)) = X1 [forward demodulation 71,27]
71. mult(inverse(X1),e) = mult(X2,mult(X1,X2)) [superposition 23,20]
27. mult(inverse(X2),e) = X2 [superposition 22,10]
23. mult(inverse(X4),mult(X4,X5)) = X5 [forward demodulation 18,9]
22. mult(X0,mult(X0,X1)) = X1 [forward demodulation 16,9]
20. e = mult(X0,mult(X1,mult(X0,X1))) [superposition 11,12]
18. mult(e,X5) = mult(inverse(X4),mult(X4,X5)) [superposition 11,10]
16. mult(e,X1) = mult(X0,mult(X0,X1)) [superposition 11,12]
15. sP1(mult(sK0,sK)) [inequality splitting 13,14]
14. ~sP1(mult(sK,sK0)) [inequality splitting name introduction]
13. mult(sK,sK0) != mult(sK0,sK) [cnf transformation 8]
12. e = mult(X0,X0) (0:5) [cnf transformation 4]
11. mult(mult(X0,X1),X2)=mult(X0,mult(X1,X2)) [cnf transformation 3]
10. e = mult(inverse(X0),X0) [cnf transformation 2]
9. mult(e,X0) = X0 [cnf transformation 1]
8. mult(sK,sK0) != mult(sK0,sK) [skolemisation 7]
7. ? [X0,X1] : mult(X0,X1) != mult(X1,X0) [ennf transformation 6]
6. ~! [X0,X1] : mult(X0,X1) = mult(X1,X0) [negated conjecture 5]
5. ! [X0,X1] : mult(X0,X1) = mult(X1,X0) [input]
4. ! [X0] : e = mult(X0,X0) [input]
3. ! [X0,X1,X2] : mult(mult(X0,X1),X2) = mult(X0,mult(X1,X2)) [input]
2. ! [X0] : e = mult(inverse(X0),X0) [input]
1. ! [X0] : mult(e,X0) = X0 [input]
```

- ▶ Each inference derives a formula from zero or more other formulas;
- ▶ Input, preprocessing, new symbols introduction, **superposition calculus**

Proof by Vampire (Slightly Modified)

Refutation found. Thanks to Tanya!

203. \$false [subsumption resolution 202,14]

```
202. sP1(mult(sK,sK0)) [backward demodulation 188,15]
188. mult(X8,X9) = mult(X9,X8) [superposition 22,87]
87. mult(X2,mult(X1,X2)) = X1 [forward demodulation 71,27]
71. mult(inverse(X1),e) = mult(X2,mult(X1,X2)) [superposition 23,20]
27. mult(inverse(X2),e) = X2 [superposition 22,10]
23. mult(inverse(X4),mult(X4,X5)) = X5 [forward demodulation 18,9]
22. mult(X0,mult(X0,X1)) = X1 [forward demodulation 16,9]
20. e = mult(X0,mult(X1,mult(X0,X1))) [superposition 11,12]
18. mult(e,X5) = mult(inverse(X4),mult(X4,X5)) [superposition 11,10]
16. mult(e,X1) = mult(X0,mult(X0,X1)) [superposition 11,12]
15. sP1(mult(sK0,sK)) [inequality splitting 13,14]
14. ~sP1(mult(sK,sK0)) [inequality splitting name introduction]
13. mult(sK,sK0) != mult(sK0,sK) [cnf transformation 8]
12. e = mult(X0,X0) (0:5) [cnf transformation 4]
11. mult(mult(X0,X1),X2)=mult(X0,mult(X1,X2)) [cnf transformation 3]
10. e = mult(inverse(X0),X0) [cnf transformation 2]
9. mult(e,X0) = X0 [cnf transformation 1]
8. mult(sK,sK0) != mult(sK0,sK) [skolemisation 7]
7. ? [X0,X1] : mult(X0,X1) != mult(X1,X0) [ennf transformation 6]
6. ~! [X0,X1] : mult(X0,X1) = mult(X1,X0) [negated conjecture 5]
5. ! [X0,X1] : mult(X0,X1) = mult(X1,X0) [input]
4. ! [X0] : e = mult(X0,X0) [input]
3. ! [X0,X1,X2] : mult(mult(X0,X1),X2) = mult(X0,mult(X1,X2)) [input]
2. ! [X0] : e = mult(inverse(X0),X0) [input]
1. ! [X0] : mult(e,X0) = X0 [input]
```

- ▶ Each inference derives a formula from zero or more other formulas;
- ▶ Input, preprocessing, new symbols introduction, superposition calculus
- ▶ Proof by refutation, generating and simplifying inferences, unused formulas ...

Proof by Vampire (Slightly Modified)

Refutation found. Thanks to Tanya!

```
203. $false [subsumption resolution 202,14]
202. sP1(mult(sK,sK0)) [backward demodulation 188,15]
188. mult(X8,X9) = mult(X9,X8) [superposition 22,87]
87. mult(X2,mult(X1,X2)) = X1 [forward demodulation 71,27]
71. mult(inverse(X1),e) = mult(X2,mult(X1,X2)) [superposition 23,20]
27. mult(inverse(X2),e) = X2 [superposition 22,10]
23. mult(inverse(X4),mult(X4,X5)) = X5 [forward demodulation 18,9]
22. mult(X0,mult(X0,X1)) = X1 [forward demodulation 16,9]
20. e = mult(X0,mult(X1,mult(X0,X1))) [superposition 11,12]
18. mult(e,X5) = mult(inverse(X4),mult(X4,X5)) [superposition 11,10]
16. mult(e,X1) = mult(X0,mult(X0,X1)) [superposition 11,12]
15. sP1(mult(sK0,sK)) [inequality splitting 13,14]
14. ~sP1(mult(sK,sK0)) [inequality splitting name introduction]
13. mult(sK,sK0) != mult(sK0,sK) [cnf transformation 8]
12. e = mult(X0,X0) (0:5) [cnf transformation 4]
11. mult(mult(X0,X1),X2)=mult(X0,mult(X1,X2)) [cnf transformation 3]
10. e = mult(inverse(X0),X0) [cnf transformation 2]
9. mult(e,X0) = X0 [cnf transformation 1]
8. mult(sK,sK0) != mult(sK0,sK) [skolemisation 7]
7. ? [X0,X1] : mult(X0,X1) != mult(X1,X0) [ennf transformation 6]
6. ~! [X0,X1] : mult(X0,X1) = mult(X1,X0) [negated conjecture 5]
5. ! [X0,X1] : mult(X0,X1) = mult(X1,X0) [input]
4. ! [X0] : e = mult(X0,X0) [input]
3. ! [X0,X1,X2] : mult(mult(X0,X1),X2) = mult(X0,mult(X1,X2)) [input]
2. ! [X0] : e = mult(inverse(X0),X0) [input]
1. ! [X0] : mult(e,X0) = X0 [input]
```

- ▶ Each inference derives a formula from zero or more other formulas;
- ▶ Input, preprocessing, new symbols introduction, superposition calculus
- ▶ Proof by refutation, **generating** and **simplifying** inferences, unused formulas ...

Proof by Vampire (Slightly Modified)

Refutation found. Thanks to Tanya!

```
203. $false [subsumption resolution 202,14]
202. sP1(mult(sK,sK0)) [backward demodulation 188,15]
188. mult(X8,X9) = mult(X9,X8) [superposition 22,87]
87. mult(X2,mult(X1,X2)) = X1 [forward demodulation 71,27]
71. mult(inverse(X1),e) = mult(X2,mult(X1,X2)) [superposition 23,20]
27. mult(inverse(X2),e) = X2 [superposition 22,10]
23. mult(inverse(X4),mult(X4,X5)) = X5 [forward demodulation 18,9]
22. mult(X0,mult(X0,X1)) = X1 [forward demodulation 16,9]
20. e = mult(X0,mult(X1,mult(X0,X1))) [superposition 11,12]
18. mult(e,X5) = mult(inverse(X4),mult(X4,X5)) [superposition 11,10]
16. mult(e,X1) = mult(X0,mult(X0,X1)) [superposition 11,12]
15. sP1(mult(sK0,sK)) [inequality splitting 13,14]
14. ~sP1(mult(sK,sK0)) [inequality splitting name introduction]
13. mult(sK,sK0) != mult(sK0,sK) [cnf transformation 8]
12. e = mult(X0,X0) (0:5) [cnf transformation 4]
11. mult(mult(X0,X1),X2)=mult(X0,mult(X1,X2)) [cnf transformation 3]
10. e = mult(inverse(X0),X0) [cnf transformation 2]
9. mult(e,X0) = X0 [cnf transformation 1]
8. mult(sK,sK0) != mult(sK0,sK) [skolemisation 7]
7. ? [X0,X1] : mult(X0,X1) != mult(X1,X0) [ennf transformation 6]
6. ~! [X0,X1] : mult(X0,X1) = mult(X1,X0) [negated conjecture 5]
5. ! [X0,X1] : mult(X0,X1) = mult(X1,X0) [input]
4. ! [X0] : e = mult(X0,X0) [input]
3. ! [X0,X1,X2] : mult(mult(X0,X1),X2) = mult(X0,mult(X1,X2)) [input]
2. ! [X0] : e = mult(inverse(X0),X0) [input]
1. ! [X0] : mult(e,X0) = X0 [input]
```

- ▶ Each inference derives a formula from zero or more other formulas;
- ▶ Input, preprocessing, new symbols introduction, superposition calculus
- ▶ Proof by refutation, generating and simplifying inferences, **unused formulas** ...

Statistics

Version: Vampire 1.8 (revision 1362)

Termination reason: Refutation

Active clauses: 14

Passive clauses: 35

Generated clauses: 194

Final active clauses: 8

Final passive clauses: 11

Input formulas: 5

Initial clauses: 6

Splitted inequalities: 1

Fw subsumption resolutions: 1

Fw demodulations: 68

Bw demodulations: 14

Forward subsumptions: 65

Backward subsumptions: 1

Fw demodulations to eq. taut.: 20

Bw demodulations to eq. taut.: 1

Forward superposition: 60

Backward superposition: 39

Self superposition: 6

Unique components: 6

Memory used [KB]: 255

Time elapsed: 0.007 s

Vampire

- ▶ Completely automatic: once you started a proof attempt, it can only be interrupted by terminating the process.

Vampire

- ▶ Completely automatic: once you started a proof attempt, it can only be interrupted by terminating the process.
- ▶ Chris Weidenbach: A dark side of theorem proving.

Vampire

- ▶ Completely automatic: once you started a proof attempt, it can only be interrupted by terminating the process.
- ▶ Chris Weidenbach: A dark side of theorem proving.
- ▶ Anonymous referee: VAMPIRE is not a glass of Tuborg.

Main applications

- ▶ Software and hardware verification;
- ▶ Static analysis of programs;
- ▶ Query answering in first-order knowledge bases (ontologies);
- ▶ Theorem proving in mathematics, especially in algebra;

Main applications

- ▶ Software and hardware verification;
- ▶ Static analysis of programs;
- ▶ Query answering in first-order knowledge bases (ontologies);
- ▶ Theorem proving in mathematics, especially in algebra;
- ▶ Verification of cryptographic protocols;
- ▶ Retrieval of software components;
- ▶ Reasoning in non-classical logics;
- ▶ Program synthesis;

Main applications

- ▶ Software and hardware verification;
- ▶ Static analysis of programs;
- ▶ Query answering in first-order knowledge bases (ontologies);
- ▶ Theorem proving in mathematics, especially in algebra;
- ▶ Verification of cryptographic protocols;
- ▶ Retrieval of software components;
- ▶ Reasoning in non-classical logics;
- ▶ Program synthesis;
- ▶ Writing papers and giving talks at various conferences and schools ...

What an Automatic Theorem Prover is Expected to Do

Input:

- ▶ a set of **axioms** (first order formulas) or clauses;
- ▶ a **conjecture** (first-order formula or set of clauses).

Output:

- ▶ **proof** (hopefully).

Proof by Refutation

Given a problem with axioms and assumptions F_1, \dots, F_n and conjecture G ,

1. negate the conjecture;
2. establish unsatisfiability of the set of formulas $F_1, \dots, F_n, \neg G$.

Proof by Refutation

Given a problem with axioms and assumptions F_1, \dots, F_n and conjecture G ,

1. negate the conjecture;
2. establish **unsatisfiability** of the set of formulas $F_1, \dots, F_n, \neg G$.

Thus, we reduce the theorem proving problem to the problem of **checking unsatisfiability**.

Proof by Refutation

Given a problem with axioms and assumptions F_1, \dots, F_n and conjecture G ,

1. negate the conjecture;
2. establish **unsatisfiability** of the set of formulas $F_1, \dots, F_n, \neg G$.

Thus, we reduce the theorem proving problem to the problem of **checking unsatisfiability**.

In this formulation the negation of the conjecture $\neg G$ is treated like any other formula. In fact, Vampire (and other provers) **internally treat conjectures differently, to make proof search more goal-oriented**.

General Scheme (simplified)

- ▶ Read a problem;
- ▶ Determine proof-search options to be used for this problem;
- ▶ Preprocess the problem;
- ▶ Convert it into CNF;
- ▶ Run a saturation algorithm on it, try to derive \perp .
- ▶ If \perp is derived, report the result, maybe including a refutation.

General Scheme (simplified)

- ▶ Read a problem;
- ▶ Determine proof-search options to be used for this problem;
- ▶ Preprocess the problem;
- ▶ Convert it into CNF;
- ▶ Run a saturation algorithm on it, try to derive \perp .
- ▶ If \perp is derived, report the result, maybe including a refutation.

Trying to derive \perp using a saturation algorithm is the hardest part, which in practice may not terminate or run out of memory.